

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

APRIL SARAGOSA,

Plaintiff.

V.

XIAMEN 45 ZHI JU TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01380-RFB-BNW

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21) of the Honorable Brenda N. Weksler, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 5, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 19, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

111

111

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute by
4 the court-ordered deadline.

5 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.
6
7

8 **DATED:** October 15, 2024

9
10 
11

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28